

Internal Audit Confidential Report

Business Rates 2017/18

Date: January 2018 Report Status: Final

Internal Audit Opinion (see reverse for details)		
Control Environment	Substantial	
Organisational Impact	Minor	

Audit Team

Louise Ivens (Principal Audit Manager) Simon Gosling (Lead Auditor) Alice Carter (Trainee Auditor)

Louise.lvens@leeds.gov.uk Simon.Gosling@leeds.gov.uk Alice.Carter@leeds.gov.uk



Definitions of Audit Assurance

Control Environment Assurance – The design and suitability of the current internal controls.			
Leve	l	Definitions	
1	SUBSTANTIAL	There are minimal control weaknesses that present very low	
	ASSURANCE	risk to the control environment.	
2	GOOD ASSURANCE	There are minor control weaknesses that present low risk to	
	the control environment.		
3	ACCEPTABLE	There are some control weaknesses that present a medium	
	ASSURANCE	risk to the control environment.	
4	LIMITED	There are significant control weaknesses that present a high	
	ASSURANCE	risk to the control environment	
5	NO	There are fundamental control weaknesses that present an	
	ASSURANCE	unacceptable level of risk to the control environment.	

Compliance Assurance – The level of compliance with current internal controls.			
Level		Definitions	
1	1 SUBSTANTIAL The control environment has substantially operated as		
	ASSURANCE	intended although some minor errors have been detected.	
2	GOOD ASSURANCE	The control environment has largely operated as intended	
although some errors have been detected.		although some errors have been detected.	
3	ACCEPTABLE	The control environment has mainly operated as intended	
	ASSURANCE	although errors have been detected.	
4	LIMITED	The control environment has not operated as intended.	
	ASSURANCE	Significant errors have been detected.	
5	NO ASSURANCE	The control environment has fundamentally broken down	
		and is open to significant error or abuse.	

Orga	Organisational Impact – The impact on the council should the risks identified materialise.			
Level		Definitions		
1 MAJOR		The weaknesses identified during the review have left the council open to significant risk. If the risk materialises it would have a major impact upon the organisation as a whole.		
2	MODERATE	The weaknesses identified during the review have left the council open to medium risk. If the risk materialises it would have a moderate impact upon the organisation as a whole.		
3 MINOR		The weaknesses identified during the review have left the council open to low risk. This could have a minor impact on the organisation as a whole.		

Report Recipients

Name	Title	Reason for receiving the report
Neil Evans	Director, Resources and Housing	Information
Doug Meeson	Chief Officer, Financial Services	Information
Bhupinder Chana	Head of Finance, Technical	Information
Mark Amson	Business Rate Manager	Information
Richard Ellis	Head of Finance - Financial	Information
	Management	
Kevin Mulvaney	Head of Finance	Information
Naomi Eastwood	Senior Financial Manager	Information
Elizabeth Brooke	Senior Financial Manager	Information

The recipients of this audit report are:

Freedom of Information Rider

Whilst this report is directed primarily to the recipients named in the report, Audit Reports are subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act and, as such, may be required to be made publicly available upon request. However, there are several Freedom of Information Act exemptions to which parts, or all, of this report may be subject.

Before responding to any request to make this report publicly available, or otherwise making it publicly available, you should consult the Principal Audit Manager named in the report.

Similarly, this Audit Report, or extracts from it, should not be included in, or appended to, any City Council Committee Report, nor should it be quoted as a background paper to any City Council Committee Report without firstly consulting the Principal Audit Manager.

The final decision on what information is required to be disclosed will be made by Leeds City Council.

Audit Summary

The following table sets out the objectives we have covered as part of the audit, our assessment of the adequacy of the controls in place, the effectiveness of the controls tested and any resulting recommendations.

	Objective Assessed	Control Environment	Recommendations Raised
1.	All applicable non-domestic properties are accurately recorded in the Business Rates database.	Substantial	None
2.	Amounts due in respect of each property have been correctly calculated and recorded, including any relief, and bills are issued on a timely basis.	Substantial	None
3.	Secure arrangements are in place for the receipt of Business Rates payments, all payments received are accounted for and promptly posted to the correct Business Rates account.	Substantial	None
4.	Procedures are in place to ensure recovery action is undertaken promptly and in line with legislation and reminder notices are issued on a timely basis.	Substantial	None
5.	All refunds and write offs are valid, accurate and correctly authorised.	Substantial	None
6.	Adequate and timely management information is produced and acted upon.	Substantial	None
7.	Procedures are in place to ensure the accuracy of information in relation to the Pooling arrangement.	Substantial	None

Internal Audit Opinion

We are able to provide substantial assurance that all expected controls are in place to

mitigate the main risks associated with the Business Rates system.

Minor Organisational Impact has been assigned as the weaknesses identified during the review have left the council open to low risk. If the risk materialises it would have a minor impact on the organisation as a whole.

Audit Details

1. Introduction

As part of the annual Internal Audit Plan time has been allocated for undertaking fundamental reviews of Council key financial systems. This is to provide assurance to support the Head of Internal Audit's Annual Opinion on the control environment.

The previous three Business Rates audits received substantial assurance for the control environment. For systems that receive this level of assurance, testing of compliance with the control environment is carried out once every three years. The coverage for 2017/18 included an assessment of the control environment.

2. Risks and links to key priorities

The review has links to the following risks in the Corporate Risk Register:

• Council's financial position goes into significant deficit in the current year resulting in reserves (actual or projected) being less than the minimum specified by the Council's risk-based reserves policy.

3. Scope and Work Completed

The scope of the work was to document, evaluate and validate the systems and procedures in place for the above objectives.

4. Audit Findings

4.1 <u>Objective 1: All applicable non-domestic properties are accurately recorded in the</u> <u>Business Rates database</u>

- 4.1.1 There are controls in place to ensure that non-domestic properties are correctly recorded in the Business Rates database, Academy. These controls include regular reconciliations with the Valuation Office Agency (VOA), the prompt and accurate updating of amendments (including new growth) and regular visits to ensure that all property details are accurate.
- 4.2 <u>Objective 2: Amounts due in respect of each property have been correctly calculated</u> and recorded, including any relief, and bills are issued on a timely basis
- 4.2.1 There are appropriate controls in place for the annual billing process. Data analysis work undertaken by Internal Audit verified that all bills for 2017/18, including BID

and any reliefs, had been correctly calculated according to the information within the business rates database.

- 4.2.2 Validation sample testing f reliefs and discounts confirmed that they had been applied in line with procedures and appropriately authorised, and evidence of eligibility could be provided for each one.
- 4.2.3 A low level recommendation had been made in the 2016/17 action plan to include additional checks at the annual billing stage to ensure that all exception reports provided by the owners of the business rates database, Capita, have been run and the results retained. We have confirmed that this has now been introduced.
- 4.3 <u>Objective 3: Secure arrangements are in place for the receipt of Business Rates</u> payments, all payments received are accounted for and promptly posted to the <u>correct Business Rates account</u>
- 4.3.1 Secure arrangements are in place for the receipt of business rates payments. We validated that two daily and two monthly cash and refund reconciliations had been undertaken promptly between Academy, the Income Management System (IMS) and the Financial Management System (FMS); that these reconciliations had been reviewed by another officer; and that any variances identified had been investigated and resolved.
- 4.3.2 Testing of a sample of 2 items which had been allocated to the suspense account confirmed that this account is monitored and actioned regularly. Further testing was undertaken on a sample of 2 accounts in credit. Again, this demonstrated that these accounts are monitored regularly and action taken as appropriate.
- 4.4 <u>Objective 4: Procedures are in place to ensure recovery action is undertaken</u> promptly and in line with legislation and reminder notices are issued on a timely basis
- 4.4.1 The correct recovery procedures were being followed in a timely manner and the appropriate notes recorded within the Academy system for our sample of 5 accounts in arrears. Where appropriate, the process for obtaining Liability Orders against defaulters was undertaken.
- 4.4.2 A validation of two accounts in place in relation to significant court actions was also undertaken. These actions are undertaken in conjunction with legal advice to minimise the current and future losses to the Council.
- 4.4.3 An overview of the procedures in place to identify: potential fraud / avoidance cases; the processes for undertaking any investigation; the decision processes around taking action / pursing cases through the courts; and the reporting process was undertaken. Business Rates obtains advice, as appropriate, in these cases from Legal Services and from the Council's debt management solicitors, Weightmans.
- 4.4.4 Validation testing was undertaken to review the actions taken in relation to 2 cases, to gain assurance that losses to the Council had been mitigated. The processes were operating as expected and actions were taken, in conjunction with legal advice as appropriate, to minimise the loss of Business Rates income to the Council.

4.5 <u>Objective 5: All refunds and write offs are valid, accurate and correctly authorised</u>

- 4.5.1 Sufficient controls are in place for the processing of refunds and write-offs. This was verified by testing a sample of 2 refunds and 2 write-offs. In all instances the refund/write-off had been correctly processed and appropriately authorised.
- 4.5.2 We also tested a sample of 2 insolvency cases to ensure they had been correctly recorded, processed and included on the insolvency database. No concerns were identified.
- 4.5.3 As part of the review reports were prepared to identify potential cases where money laundering may be occurring. There is a detailed refund process in place which requires several levels of checking and authorisation which should be sufficient to identify any frequent or unusual overpayments or refunds. In all potential cases tested, there was no evidence to suggest that any money laundering activity had occurred.
- 4.6 <u>Objective 6: Adequate and timely management information is produced and acted</u> <u>upon</u>
- 4.6.1 Business Rates collection targets have been set and are monitored and reported to Finance Performance Group (FPG) monthly.
- 4.6.2 Collection rate estimates and actual figures are also reported to Central Government on a regular basis.
- 4.7 <u>Objective 7: Procedures are in place to ensure the accuracy of information in relation</u> to the Pooling arrangement
- 4.7.1 Adequate governance arrangements are in place covering the operation of the Pool, returns are accurately completed from the information provided by member authorities, and budgeted / predicted revenue for the Pool is compared to actuals.

Detailed testing results are shown in the table below.

Testing Summary

The sample for testing was selected from the period covering October 2016 – September 2017, unless otherwise stated.

Area	s tested	Number of records retrieved	Number of records examined	
-	ctive 1 - All applicable non-domestic properties are accurately record base.	led in the Bus	iness Rates	
1.1	Regular reconciliations occur between the business rates database and the VOA ratings list	2	2	
1.2	Correct and prompt action has been taken where variances have arisen between the VOA ratings list and the business rates database	52 weekly schedules	5	
1.3	Regular visits are undertaken where necessary to determine records of occupation are accurate	n/a¹	5	
	Results			
1.1	The reconciliations completed were confirmed to supporting docu were identified.	mentation an	d no issues	
1.2	In the 5 cases tested, correct and prompt action was taken when a between the VOA ratings list and the business rates database.	ıny variances	arose	
1.3	1.3 In 4/5 accounts tested, the visit had occurred and was recorded in line with work instructions. In the remaining case, there was no visit undertaken as was scheduled to have taken place due to development not commencing within 3 years of approval. This made the planning approval invalid and the property rightly removed from the visit list.			
Objective 2 - Amounts due in respect of each property have been correctly calculated and recorded, including any relief, and bills are issued on a timely basis				

¹ A random sample of 20 properties was selected from visit schedules, the query book, completion notices and the planning database.

	A	APPENDIX 1		
2.1	Recalculation of all annual bills <u>only</u> issue August 2017.	ed for 2017/18 up to 31st	28,234	28,234
	Recalculation of Otley BID bills		571	571
	Recalculation of Leeds City BID bills		1,033	1,033
2.2	Reliefs/discounts awarded are supported eligibility with the relevant criteria; have and the exemption has only been applied allowance or eligible dates as per the evid	been actioned promptly; I for the maximum	1,339	1,339
	Results			
2.1	All bills have been correctly calculated a Academy database.	ccording to the informatio	n held within	the
2.2	Evidence of eligibility for the relief/disco had been appropriately approved.	ount could be provided in a	ll cases teste	d, and these
-	Active 3 - Secure arrangements are in place nents received are accounted for and prom	-		
3.1	Monthly and daily reconciliations have be timely manner and that these have been			
	- Monthly reconciliations		12	2
	- Daily reconciliations		262	2
3.2	Items in the suspense account are review	ved promptly and		
	actioned appropriately		2	2
3.3	Accounts in credit are monitored and act	ion taken as appropriate	321	2
	Results			
3.1 3.2	The sample of monthly and daily reconci been reviewed by a second officer and a cumulative figures used in the monthly r reconciliation.	ny variances were investig	ated and res	olved. The

For the items tested which had been allocated to the suspense account, action had been

3.3 taken appropriately in a prompt manner to allocate the payment to the correct account.
 Accounts in credit are monitored regularly and appropriate action taken to refund, transfer

or await further information before taking clearing the credit balance.

Objective 4 - Procedures are in place to ensure recovery action is undertaken promptly and in line with legislation and reminder notices are issued on a timely basis

4.4	Long term arrangements have been made on accounts for	n/a ⁶	2
4.3	Appropriate court action has been taken.	n/a⁵	2
4.2	Stop Recovery Markers have been placed on accounts for appropriate reasons and length of time.	446	2
	REM 3 – 16/11/2017	n/a ³ n/a ⁴	1
	REM 1 – 15/11/2017 REM 2 – 02/11/2017	n/a²	1
4.1	Recovery action is appropriate and regular monitoring is undertaken. Reminder notices are issued promptly in line with the recovery timetable and agreed procedures.		

² The sample was selected from hard copies of reports where the total number of records was not available.

³ The sample was selected from hard copies of reports where the total number of records was not available.

⁴ The sample was selected from hard copies of reports where the total number of records was not available.

⁵ The sample was selected from hard copies of reports where the total number of records was not available.

⁶ The sample was selected from hard copies of reports where the total number of records was not available.

4.4 4.5	Action taken in relation to pursuing potential fraud/avoidance cases has minimised the loss of business rates income to the Council.				
Obje	Objective 5 - All refunds and write offs are valid, accurate and correctly authorised				
5.1	Refunds made are valid, for the correct amount, and paid to the correct person	1,248	2		
5.2	There has been segregation of duties in the input and authorisation of refunds	1,248	1,248		
5.3	Write-offs are valid, for the correct amount and have been appropriately authorised	874	2		
5.4	Money laundering:				
	 any evidence of suspicious activity on accounts which potentially could have been overpaid with cash and subsequently refunded 	40	4		
	 any evidence of suspicious activity on accounts which potentially could have been overpaid with cash where there was a credit balance 	29	4		
	Results				
5.1	All refunds were valid, for the correct amount and paid to the correct	rect person.			
5.2	For all refunds made during the period of review, there had been the input and authorisation of refunds.	segregation	of duties in		
5.3	In all cases tested, there was sufficient evidence that the write-off approved by an appropriate officer.	f was valid,	accurate and		
5.4	No evidence of potential money laundering activity was identified	1.			
Obje	Objective 6 - Adequate and timely management information is produced and acted upon				
6.1	Checks completed to confirm the accuracy of the figures for Business Rates in the monitoring statement for FPG.	12	2		
6.2	Checks completed to confirm the accuracy of the figures relating to the Leeds element of the QRC1 and QRC2 forms.	2	2		

	Results				
6.1	The figures for Business Rates in the monitoring statement for FPC	6 are accurate	2.		
6.2	The figures relating to Leeds in the QRC1 and QRC2 forms are accurate.				
-	ctive 7 - Procedures are in place to ensure the accuracy of information in garrangement	on in relation	to the		
7.1	Checks completed to confirm the accuracy of the figures for Leeds in the monitoring statement for the LCR business rates pool to the NNDR1 form.	1	1		
7.2	Checks completed to confirm the accuracy of the figures relating to the Leeds element of the NNDR3 form and overview checks on the figures provided by the other pool authorities.	1	1		
7.3	Confirmation that the amounts paid in FMS agree to the planned	6 payment	6 payment		
	usage of the levy, and that top ups have been paid and tariffs	48 top-up	48 top up		
	received.	16 tariff	16 tariff		
	Results				
7.1	The figures for Leeds in the monitoring statement for the LCR business rates pool are accurate.				
7.2	The figures relating to Leeds and the other pool authorities in the NNDR3 form are accurate.				
7.3	The amounts paid and received in FMS agree to the planned usage of the levy, and the scheduled top ups have been paid and tariffs received.				